

WHAT DO SHERIFF CANDIDATES AND NUREMBERG [NUERNBERG] DEFENDANTS HAVE IN COMMON?

By Christian Meister, June 28, 2011

DO SHERIFFS HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ENFORCE ORDERS THAT THEY KNOW ARE ILLEGAL?

“I was just following orders.” This is what the Nazi officers said at the Nuremberg trial.

They were found guilty.

These officers suspended their judgment. They failed to exercise their God-given intelligence.

Are we about to repeat this?

In Lee County, Florida, we have judges who have openly declared that homeowners are not entitled to see what evidence their accusers have that would otherwise show that they own their mortgages. In fact, the judges make any and all attempts to block access to this evidence, if any exists.

The evidence or the lack thereof is in the banks' hands. But judges refuse to require the banks to produce this evidence in court.

Banks claim they have a right to foreclose when in fact the foreclosures were brought on by financial institutions' greed, accomplishing their deeds by fraudulently repackaging and remarketing mortgages. Banks were made whole by taxpayers' bailouts, mortgage insurance policies, and other protections and bonuses created or allowed by corporate-serving politicians.

Judges in Lee County, Florida, and elsewhere strip homeowners of their rights by removing homeowners from the normal court process and by putting them into a special system. In Nazi Germany, some people received special treatment. They were sent to concentration camps without the due process of law.

People here receive special treatment by being stripped of their homes without due process after being forced into an abysmal system called the “rocket docket.” This system was designed in an attempt to move

potentially complicated legal matters quickly through the courts without any proper documentation, for the benefit of banks. In this “rocket docket” system, invented by Lee County, our people have no rights. Are we, as a nation, willing to strip our people of their right to see the evidence that is alleged against them?

As sheriff, I will ***intentionally not*** enforce these types of unlawful (eviction) orders. Courts have become slaughter houses. Sheriffs have the responsibility to resist Unconstitutional orders. They cannot say, “I was only following orders.” Judges must be challenged to only put out properly litigated and Constitutionally sound orders.

Every day, sheriffs are asked to evict homeowners who have been intentionally deprived of due process. The question is this: Who is next? Today it is homeowners. What type of litigant will it be tomorrow? What group of people?

Not so long ago, sheriffs were sent to enforce illegal orders against minorities, until a civil rights movement required equal protection under the law.

As sheriff of Lee County, I will not enforce these types of orders, thus forcing an appeals process to a higher authority that will examine a dysfunctional and corrupted judiciary. Some enlightened judges, such as Judge Arthur Schack in New York, have seen the actions of banks and lawyers as the travesties they are and have ordered in favor of homeowners.

Sheriffs have the moral and legal responsibility to keep the peace. They are sworn to protect the Constitution. They have sworn an oath. The enforcement of an illegal process is illegal and unconstitutional.

This is an opportunity for voters to bring these injustices to a head by the election of a sheriff who will not enforce improper and illegal orders.

As sheriff, I will recognize the Florida Statute of adverse possession and instruct the deputies to act accordingly, in an attempt to make whole. The Lee County economy can only prosper from it.

Last but not least, sheriffs are members of the executive branch. They therefore have a duty to oppose any unconstitutional aggression by any of the other branches of government.

*Political advertisement paid for and approved by
Christian Meister no party affiliation, for Lee County Sheriff*